

2024:PHHC:128568



120

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-48978-2024 (O&M)  
Date of decision: 27.09.2024

Alka Banga and another

... Petitioners

Vs.

Kamal Banga

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR

Present: Mr. Abhinay Goel, Advocate  
for the petitioners.

\*\*\*\*\*

HARPREET SINGH BRAR, J. (ORAL)

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 447 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (for short 'BNSS') seeking transfer of petition under Section 125 Cr.P.C. bearing case No.MNT/167/2021 titled as '*Alka Banga and another Vs. Kamal Banga*', pending before the Court of learned Principal Judge, Family Court, Faridabad, to the competent Court of jurisdiction at Chandigarh.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioners, *inter alia*, contends that petitioner No.1 along with her minor daughter i.e. petitioner No.2 is presently residing in Dhakoli, Zirakpur, District SAS Nagar (Mohali). The

2024:PHHC:128568



petition under Section 13 of Hindu Marriage Act, 1955 filed by the respondent is also pending before the Court of competent jurisdiction at Chandigarh. It is further contended that petitioner No.1 is having no source of income and it is very difficult for her to travel around 270 kms to pursue the petition under Section 125 Cr.P.C. before learned Family Court, Faridabad.

3. Further, learned counsel for the petitioner relies upon the judgments rendered by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *Sumita Singh Vs. Kumar Sanjay and another, AIR 2002 SC 396* and *Rajani Kishor Pardeshi Vs. Kishor Babulal Pardeshi, (2005) 12 SCC 237* to contend that while deciding the transfer applications, more weightage and consideration should be given to the convenience of the female litigants and transfer of legal proceedings from one Court to another Court should ordinarily be allowed in order to avoid undue hardship to the female litigants.

4. Having heard learned counsel for the petitioner and after perusing the record of the case with his able assistance, present petition is being decided without issuing notice to the respondent and also in order to save litigation cost to be borne by him as well as judicial time of the Court.

5. A two Judge Bench of the Hon'ble Supreme Court dealt with power of the Court to transfer proceedings under Sections 24 and 25 of the Civil Procedure Code in *Kulwinder Kaur @ Kulwinder Gurcharan Singh*

2024:PHHC:128568



*Vs. Kandi Friends Education Trust, AIR 2008 SC 1333*, wherein it was held that the power to make such transfers is discretionary in nature and hence, it would be unwise to attempt to shackle it with a blanket formula uniformly applicable to all situations. However, it cannot be denied that the power must be used with abundance of care and caution. Further a three Judge Bench of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *Gana Saraswathi Vs. H. Raghu Prasad, (2000) 10 SCC 277* had observed that in the interest of justice, the doctrine of forum non-conveniens can also be extended to matrimonial proceedings. It was stated that Courts usually allow transfer petitions in such cases to ensure that the wife does not suffer on account of not being able to participate in the proceedings.

6. A two Judge Bench of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *N.C.V. Aishwarya Vs. A.S. Saravana Karthik Sha, 2022 SCC OnLine SC 1199* has held as under:-

*“9. The cardinal principle for exercise of power under Section 24 of the Code of Civil Procedure is that the ends of justice should demand the transfer of the suit, appeal or other proceeding. In matrimonial matters, wherever Courts are called upon to consider the plea of transfer, the Courts have to take into consideration the economic soundness of both the parties, the social strata of the spouses and their behavioural pattern, their standard of life prior to the marriage and subsequent thereto and the circumstances of both the parties in eking out their livelihood and under whose protective umbrella they are seeking their sustenance to life. Given the prevailing socio-economic paradigm in the Indian society, generally, it is the*

2024:PHHC:128568



*wife's convenience which must be looked at while considering transfer.*

*10. Further, when two or more proceedings are pending in different Courts between the same parties which raise common question of fact and law, and when the decisions in the cases are interdependent, it is desirable that they should be tried together by the same Judge so as to avoid multiplicity in trial of the same issues and conflict of decisions.”*

7. In the present case, petitioner No.1 has to look after the minor daughter-petitioner No.2, who is residing with her. Furthermore, she has to travel around 270 kms to pursue the petition under Section 125 Cr.P.C. pending before learned Family Court, Faridabad and therefore, it would cause immense hardship to her, much less, she will have to bear the transportation expenses to attend the Court proceedings on each and every date.

8. In view of the law settled by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***Sumita Singh***'s case (supra), ***Rajani Kishor Pardeshi***'s case (supra) and ***N.C.V. Aishwarya***'s case (supra), present petition is allowed. Resultantly, petition under Section 125 Cr.P.C. bearing case No.MNT/167/2021 titled as '***Alka Banga and another Vs. Kamal Banga***', pending before the Court of learned Principal Judge, Family Court, Faridabad is ordered to be transferred to the jurisdiction of District and Sessions Judge, Chandigarh. The District and Sessions Judge, Faridabad is directed to transfer the record pertaining to the aforesaid case to the District and Sessions Judge, Chandigarh, who will

2024:PHHC:128568



assign the said petition to the competent Court of jurisdiction at Chandigarh. The parties are directed to appear before learned trial Court within a period of 30 days from today.

9. However, before parting with this order, liberty is granted to the respondent to revive this petition, if he intends to contest the instant petition, subject to the following terms:-

- (a) The respondent will clear all the arrears of maintenance, if any, in terms of the petition filed by the petitioners under Section 125 Cr.P.C. or in any other proceedings.
- (b) The respondent will undertake on affidavit to pay the expenses of transportation availed by the petitioners to attend the Court proceedings at Faridabad and Rs.500/- as diet charges for each and every date of hearing.
- (c) The respondent will bring a demand draft of Rs.25,000/- towards the litigation expenses of the petitioners to pursue the case at Faridabad, in case the respondent opt to contest this petition.

27.09.2024  
*vishnu*

**[ HARPREET SINGH BRAR ]**  
**JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No