

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.22564-2023(O&M)  
Reserved On: 05.03.2024  
Date of Decision: 19.03.2024

Asthal Nadu Panthi Bala Dehhaja Dholidar  
...Petitioner  
Versus  
State of Haryana and others  
...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURESHWAR THAKUR  
CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE LALIT BATRA

Argued by: Mr. Anupam Gupta, Senior Advocate (Amicus Curiae) with  
Mr. Sukhpal Singh, Advocate.

Ms. Sumitra, Advocate for  
Mr. Vikram Singh, Advocate  
for the petitioner(s)

Mr. Ankur Mittal, Addl. A.G., Haryana with  
Mr. Pardeep Prakash Chahar, Sr. DAG, Haryana,  
Mr. Saurabh Mago, DAG, Haryana,  
Ms. Kushaldeep Kaur, Advocate and  
Mr. Shivam Garg, Advocate.

Ms. Sarita Chahar, Advocate  
for the applicant-respondent No. 6

\*\*\*\*\*

SURESHWAR THAKUR, J.

CM NO.3949-2024

Since the applicants are just and necessary parties for making an adjudication upon the instant writ petition. Therefore, the applicants are arrayed as respondents No.6 in the array of co-respondents in the memo of parties.

Accordingly, the application stands allowed. Amended memo of parties is taken on record. Registry is directed to tag the same at the appropriate place.

CWP No.22564-2023(O&M) -2-

**Main case**

For orders, see detailed judgement of even date, passed in *CWP No.13864-2012*, titled *Sushil Kumar and others Vs. State of Haryana and others*\_\_

**(SURESHWAR THAKUR)**  
**JUDGE**

**(LALIT BATRA)**  
**JUDGE**

**March 19, 2024**  
raman

|                           |        |
|---------------------------|--------|
| Whether speaking/reasoned | Yes/No |
| Whether reportable        | Yes/No |