



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

214

CRM-M-48524-2024

Date of Decision : **October 23, 2024**

NAVPAVITTAR SINGH ALIAS GABAR

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present : Mr. Inderjit Singh Chawla, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sahil R. Bakshi, AAG, Punjab.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J. (Oral)

1. On 27.9.2024, this Court had passed the hereinafter extracted order, upon the instant petition:-

“Through the instant petition filed under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (BNSS), prayer is made for grant of 'anticipatory bail' to the petitioner in case FIR no.120, dated 29.08.2024 (Annexure P-1), under Section 306 of the BNS, registered at Police Station Sadar Kapurthala, District Kapurthala.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the present petitioner never remained an employee of the complainant, rather the instant FIR has been filed on the behest of one Raman Kumar, to whom he remained as an employee for the last 10-11 years and there is some dispute of payment of salary.

Notice of motion.

Mr.Pardeep Bajaj, DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State, and waives service.

Adjourned to 23.10.2024.

Meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation and on his doing so, the petitioner be released on interim bail subject to his furnishing personal bonds and surety to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer. However, the petitioner shall continue to join the investigation as and when called upon to do so, and shall abide by the conditions as provided under Section 482(2) BNSS, 2023.”

2. Today, the learned State counsel has, on instructions imparted to him by the official concerned, stated that pursuant to the making of the hereinabove extracted order, the petitioner(s) had joined investigation and he is no longer required for further custodial interrogation.

3. In view of the above, the hereinabove extracted interim order dated 27.9.2024, is hereby made **absolute**, subject to the hereinafter extracted conditions:-

“(i) the petitioner(s) shall not commit an offence similar to the present offence;

(ii) the petitioner(s) shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case;

(iii) the petitioner(s) shall make himself/herself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required.”

4. This order should not be treated as “blanket” order. It will not be read granting petitioner(s) indefinite protection from arrest. It shall be confined to the FIR mentioned *ibid* and will not operate in respect of any other incident that involves commission of an offence.

5. Needless to say that anything observed hereinabove shall not be construed to be an opinion on the merits of the case.

October 23, 2024
ajay-1

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned. : Yes/No
Whether Reportable. : Yes/No