

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(246)

CWP-26991-2022

Date of Decision : February 20, 2024

Rajesh Kumar**.. Petitioner****Versus****State of Haryana and another****.. Respondents****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI**

Present: Mr. Anurag Jain, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Tapan Kumar Yadav, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

1. In the present writ petition, the grievance of the petitioner is that though in the year 2014, on the basis of the Sports Policy prevalent, the petitioner has already been given appointment against Group C post but under the Sports Policy of the year 2018, the employees, who were already in employment, were given another chance to apply for higher post and the petitioner also applied for a higher post under the 2018 Policy but the respondents have rejected the claim of the petitioner vide letter dated 18.07.2022 (Annexure P-13).

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that though certain employees who were also working with the petitioner, who had been appointed after the Sports Policy of the year 2014, have been accommodated but the claim of the petitioner under 2018 Policy has not been considered and rather, the petitioner has been asked to apply under

2021 Policy once again, which is arbitrary and illegal.

3. Learned State counsel submits that application of the petitioner under 2018 Policy will be considered under the said Rules in case, the petitioner fulfills the eligibility with regard to the 2018 Rules and also furnish the required documents as required for and in case, all the formalities are completed by the petitioner, appropriate order on the claim of the petitioner will be passed.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in case any document is asked for by the respondents, the same will be furnished to the respondents within a period of two weeks of the demand.

5. Learned State counsel submits that within a period of two weeks of the completion of the formalities by the petitioner, appropriate order on the claim of the petitioner for the grant of appointment under 2018 Policy will be passed in accordance with law and while passing the speaking order, judgment of this Court in ***CWP No.19244 of 2019 titled as Arvind and others vs. State of Haryana and another, decided on 05.09.2023***, will be kept in mind.

6. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement of learned State counsel, the present writ petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

7. Ordered accordingly.

February 20, 2024
harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No