

1

Date of Decision : 04.11.2024

...Respondents

“Petitioner no.1, who is already married, and having two children, without taking divorce from her earlier wedlock, decided to walk out from her matrimonial relationship, and started living in 'Live-inrelationship', with petitioner no.2, who is stated to be an unmarried person, and has reached the age of majority, and having apprehension to their lives and liberty, filed criminal writ petition under Articles 226 of the Constitution of India, for issuance of



directions to the official respondents no. 2 and 3 to protect their lives and liberty at the hands of private respondents no.4 to 6.

Notice of motion.

Mr.Pardeep Bajaj, DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondents no.1 to 3, and waives service.

Notice upon respondents no.4 to 6, be issued, on furnishing the requisite process fee by the petitioners, returnable to 04.11.2024.

In the meanwhile, the State is directed to evaluate the threat perceptions as prescribed in the instant petition, if any, to the petitioners, by filing a specific status report.”

3. In deference to the directions, issued by this Court, vide order dated 27.09.2024, status report dated 28.10.2024, by way of affidavit of Mr. Satnam Singh, Deputy Superintendent of Police, City-I, District Patiala, on behalf of the respondent-State of Punjab, furnished by the learned State counsel today in the Court. The same is taken on the record.
4. A thorough enquiry was conducted and from the enquiry itself it reflects that there is no threat perception to the petitioners, as on today. Even during the enquiry, the statement of the petitioners were recorded, whereby, they submitted before the Enquiry Officer, that they do not have any threat perception, or danger to their lives, from the private respondents.
5. In view of the above, the asked for relief cannot be granted. Therefore, the instant criminal writ petition is **dismissed**.

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

November 04, 2024
Manpreet