



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

123

CWP-24904-2024

Date of decision: 26.09.2024

HC/PR DHARMPAL AND OTHERS

....PETITIONERS

Vs.

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present: Mr. J.S. Mohri, Advocate  
for the petitioners.

Mr. Aman Dhir, DAG, Punjab.

\*\*\*\*

**JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J (ORAL)**

1. The petitioners through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India are seeking direction to respondents to count the service rendered as Special Police Officer for qualifying service for the purpose of pensionary/retiral benefits.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners, *inter alia*, contends that case of the petitioners are squarely covered by Division Bench judgment of this Court in “*Harbans Lal Vs. State of Punjab*” in *CWP No.2371 of 2010* and judgments of Co-ordinate Bench in “*Sukhjeet Singh and others Vs. The State of Punjab and others*”, *CWP No. 9936 of 2017* and “*Jarnail Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and others*”, *CWP-7127 of 2019*.

3. Mr. Aman Dhir, DAG, Punjab who on advance notice is present in Court submits that in terms of judgments of Division Bench of this Court in *Harbans Lal (supra)*, the respondent authorities in the case of various officials

CWP-24904-2024

-2-

have considered their claim and passed orders. He further asserts that appropriate authority would consider case of the petitioners in terms of judgment of this Court in *Harbans Lal, Sukhjot Singh and Jarnail Singh (supra)* and pass an appropriate order within 3 months from today.

4. In the wake of statement of both sides, the present petition stands disposed of.

26.09.2024  
manoj

[JAGMOHAN BANSAL]  
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned  
Whether reportable

Yes/No  
Yes/No