



112
IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-25170-2024(O&M)
Date of decision: 30.09.2024

Surinder Singh

...Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASGURPREET SINGH PURI

Present:- Mr. Sanjeev Kumar, Advocate, for the petitioner.

JASGURPREET SINGH PURI, J. (Oral)

1. The present writ petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India seeking issuance of a writ in the nature of *mandamus* directing the respondents to release the interest amount for the period during which the retiral benefits i.e. GPF, DCRG and leave encashment were withheld i.e. on delayed payment of retiral benefits.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner had served a legal notice dated 09.09.2024 (Annexure P-6) to respondent No.2 but the same has not been considered and decided till date. He further submitted that at this stage, he will be satisfied in case the aforesaid legal notice dated 09.09.2024 (Annexure P-6) is considered and decided by respondent No.2 in accordance with law and in a time bound manner.

3. Notice of motion.



4. On the asking of the Court, Ms. Rajni Gupta, Additional Advocate General, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of both the respondents. She submitted that in view of the limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner, she has no objection in case time bound direction is issued in this regard.

5. In view of the aforesaid facts and circumstances, without calling for reply from the respondents and without expressing anything on the merits of the case, the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2/competent authority to consider and decide the legal notice dated 09.09.2024 (Annexure P-6) strictly in accordance with law and within a period of three months from today.

(JASGURPREET SINGH PURI)
JUDGE

30.09.2024
rakesh

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No