



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-48106-2024

Date of Decision : **26.09.2024**

UMESH ARORA

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present: Mr. Liaqat Ali, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr.Pardeep Bajaj, DAG, Punjab.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J.(Oral)

1. Through the instant petition, prayer is made for issuing a *mandamus* upon the official respondents no.1 to 3 to produce the final report/*challan* in case FIR No.4, dated 19.01.2024, under Sections 341, 506 and 149 of the IPC, against the accused persons.
2. Learned State counsel, on an advance notice, has filed a short reply, dated 24.09.2024, by way of an affidavit of Sh.Devinder Kumar, ACP (North), Ludhiana, today in Court, which is taken on record.
3. A perusal of the instant petition reflects that, in fact the instant petition is a misconceived motion, inasmuch as, despite the petitioner being seized of an alternate and efficacious statutory remedy for redressal of his grievance, yet he has sidetracked the said remedy and have straightway accessed this Court, through instituting the present petition.

3. Faced with the above difficulty, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks permission of this Court to withdraw the instant petition, however, with liberty reserved to the petitioner to recourse with an alternative available statutory remedy(ies) before the appropriate court concerned, for redressal of his grievance.

4. **Dismissed as withdrawn** with the aforesaid liberty.

September 26, 2024
dharamvir

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned. : Yes/No
Whether Reportable. : Yes/No