



CRWP-9328-2024

-1-

112

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-9328-2024

Date of Decision: September 24, 2024

Maninder Singh and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ

Present: Mr.Abdul Aziz, Advocate
for the petitioners.

.....

RAJESH BHARDWAJ, J.(ORAL)

1. Present petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for directing respondent No.2 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners, i.e. newly married couple, as they apprehend threat to their life and liberty and their false implication at the hands of private respondents and further directions be issued to respondents No.4 to 8 not to harass and interfere in the life of the petitioners at the instance of private respondents.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners states that both the petitioners are major and have married against the wishes of respondents No.4 to 8 and have sought protection to their life and liberty. They apprehend danger from respondents No.4 to 8. Their marriage certificate evidencing their marriage is on record as Annexure P-3. The petitioners have submitted a representation dated 18.09.2024 (Annexure P-4) to

respondent No.2.



CRWP-9328-2024

-2-

- 3. Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 3-State only.
- 4. On asking of the Court, Mr.Tarun Aggarwal, Sr.DAG, Punjab, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents/State.
- 5. Without entering upon an exercise to evaluate the evidentiary value of the documents placed on the file, I dispose of the petition with a direction to respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Malerkotla, District Malerkotla, to decide the representation (Annexure P-4) of the petitioners and grant them protection, if any threat to their life and liberty is perceived. It is clarified that this order shall not be taken to grant immunity to the petitioners from legal action for violation of law, if any, committed by them. This order would not be understood having expressed any opinion whatsoever by this Court on the validity of the marriage of the petitioners.

September 24, 2024
meenuss

(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

- | | |
|---------------------------------------|---------------|
| 1. Whether speaking/reasoned ? | Yes/No |
| 2. Whether reportable ? | Yes/No |