



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-48181-2024
Date of decision: 02.12.2024

POOJAPETITIONER

Versus

THE STATE OF HARYANA ...RESPONDENT

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present: - Mr. Abhinav Aggarwal, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Surender Singh, AAG, Haryana.

SANJIV BERRY, J. (ORAL)

By way of present petition filed under Section 482 of BNSS.,
petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in case FIR (Annexure P-2) as under:

FIR No.	Dated	Sections	Police Station
241	05.09.2024	115, 190, 191 (2), 324 (2), 333 and 351 (2) of BNS, 2023	Matlauda, District Panipat.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance to the order dated 16.10.2024 passed by this Court, the petitioner has already joined the investigation and as such prayed for confirming the interim bail granted vide order dated 16.10.2024.
3. Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Chandgi Ram, intimates the Court that the petitioner has joined investigation and is neither required for further investigation nor for any custodial interrogation.
4. During the course of hearing on 16.10.2024, following order was passed:

“ Heard.

It is inter alia contended by learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner, who is a lady aged 26 years having



CRM-M-48181-2024

2

no criminal antecedents, has been falsely implicated in this case. He contends that neither any specific overt act nor any injury has been attributed to the petitioner. Hence prayed for grant of anticipatory bail.

Per contra, learned State counsel while referring to the status report submitted by the State has opposed the bail petition but has not disputed the fact that neither any specific overt act nor any injury has been attributed to the petitioner.

In these circumstances, without commenting on the merits of the case, the petitioner is hereby directed to join investigation within seven days from today and co-operate in the same. In the event of the arrest of the petitioner, she shall be released on interim bail on her furnishing personal/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/Investigating Officer. She shall also abide by conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) BNSS.

List on 12.11.2024.”

5. Keeping in view the above submissions made by learned State counsel and the fact that the petitioner had joined the investigation consequent to the order dated 16.10.2024 passed by this Court, interim bail granted vide order dated 16.10.2024 is hereby confirmed, subject to conditions as envisaged under Section 482 (2) of BNSS, 2023. Further the petitioner is directed to join investigation as and when required in future by way of written notice for such purpose to be served by Investigating Officer of this case upon the petitioner; she will not tamper with the evidence nor will influence the witnesses and will not leave the country without prior permission of the Court.



CRM-M-48181-2024

6. The petition stands allowed.
7. It is made clear that anything contained hereinabove shall not be construed to be an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

02.12.2024
kanika

- i)

Whether speaking/reasoned?

Yes/No
- ii)

Whether reportable?

Yes/No