



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-48806-2024
Date of decision: 10.12.2024

RAVI KUMAR AND ORS.Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANR.Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present:- Mr. Ravinder Chaudhary, Advocate for
Mr. Pankaj Yadav, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Surender Singh, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Imran Ahmad Ali, Advocate for respondent No.2.

.....

SANJIV BERRY, J. (ORAL)

By way of present petition filed under Section 528 of the BNSS, 2023, petitioners seek quashing of FIR (Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 17.07.2024 (Annexure P-4) executed between the parties. Details of the FIR are as follows:

FIR No.	Dated	Sections	Police Station
330	26.05.2023	323, 342, 365, 384, 509 read with Section 34 IPC (509 IPC deleted and 201 IPC added later on)	Palam Vihar, Gurugram

2. With the intervention of respectables and elderly people of the society, the parties have arrived at a settlement vide compromise dated 17.07.2024 (Annexure P-4), which is duly signed by them. The matter was referred to the Court below for recording of statements of the parties and



to report with respect to genuineness of the compromise arrived at between the parties. Learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Gurugram, vide report dated 02.12.2024, has apprised this Court that the compromise arrived at between the parties is genuine and without any pressure. In compliance to the order dated 20.11.2024, an amount of Rs.25000/- has been deposited with the Society for the Care of Blind, Sector 26, Chandigarh and receipt thereof is annexed with the report.

3. Respondent No. 2 is represented by his counsel and does not oppose the compromise.

4. In view of the report of the learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Gurugram, and in view of the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **"Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another", 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543** and **"Narinder Singh and Others Vs. State of Punjab and Another", (2014) 6 SCC 466**, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose can be served by keeping with the criminal proceedings pending, since the complainant has himself compromised the dispute with the petitioners/accused persons.

5. In the circumstances, the present petition is allowed. FIR No. 330 dated 26.05.2023, under 323, 342, 365, 384, 509 read with Section 34 IPC (509 IPC deleted and 201 IPC added later on), registered at Palam Vihar, Gurugram (Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, are hereby quashed on the basis of compromise qua the present petitioners.

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

10.12.2024
puneet

- | | | |
|-----|----------------------------|--------|
| i) | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether reportable? | Yes/No |