

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

136

CR-5904-2023

Date of Decision: 25.04.2024

Satpal and others

.....Petitioners

Versus

Municipal Committee, Ganaur and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL**Present:** Mr. Sushil Jain, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Prateek Mahajan, Advocate and
Mr. Daanish Mahajan, Advocate for respondent No.1.

Mr. J.S.Pannu, AAG, Haryana.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J.(ORAL)

1. This revision petition has been filed by the plaintiffs to assail the correctness of concurrent orders passed by the trial Court as well as First Appellate Court while dismissing their application for grant of temporary injunction. In substance, the petitioners claim that they are in possession of property as lessee under the Municipal Committee.

2. On the other hand, it is the stand of the Municipal Committee that the possession of the petitioners was illegal as petitioner No. 1 was a former employee of the Municipal Committee. It has further been stated that the Municipal Committee has taken over possession on 11.01.2023 and 08.05.2023.

3. This Court has considered the submission.

4. The petitioners claim to be in possession as lessee on the basis of entry in lease register. The date of the lease, the terms of lease deed or its

one page from the alleged register of the Municipal Committee. The tenants under the Municipal Committee have no right to become statutory tenant either under the Punjab Tenancy Act, 1887 or under Punjab Security of Land Tenures Act, 1953, after the expiry of period of lease, the erstwhile licensee becomes unauthorized occupant.

5. Keeping in view the aforesaid facts, no ground to interfere is made out.
6. Dismissed accordingly.

25.04.2024

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)

Rajeev (rvs)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether reportable	No