

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

[235]

CWP-22399-2023

Date of Decision: 10.01.2024

BALBIR SINGH AND OTHERS PETITIONERS
VERSUS

JOINT DEVELOPMENT COMMISSIONER
(PANCHAYAT LAND) AND OTHERSRESPONDENTS

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURESHWAR THAKUR
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE LALIT BATRA**

Present: Mr. Jatinder Singla, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr. Maninder Singh, DAG, Punjab, for respondents No.1 and 2.

Mr. Ravinder Singh, Advocate for
Mr. Harjot Singh Bedi, Advocate for respondents
No. 3 to 6, 8 to 13 and 15 to 21.

SURESHWAR THAKUR, J. (ORAL)

1. Mr. Ravinder Singh, Advocate for Mr. Harjot Singh Bedi, puts in appearance on behalf of co-respondents No. 3 to 6, 8 to 13 and 15 to 21, under a validly executed *Vakalatnama*, instituted before this Court today, which is taken on record.
2. Learned counsel appearing for the opposite parties are, *ad idem*, that the impugned dismissal order, as, made on the application for restoring the *lis* to its original number, given, the same becoming dismissed in default, on 22.02.2022, thus may be quashed.
3. In consequence to the above made statement at the Bar by the learned counsels for the parties opposite, this Court sets aside the impugned order Annexures P-9 and 12. In consequence, as further consensually submitted before this Court by the learned appearing counsels, the Joint Development Commissioner concerned is directed to restore the *lis* to its

original number, and is also directed to make a valid speaking decision on the restored *lis*, but within three months from today, and after hearing all affected persons concerned.

3. Any relief relating to staying of the operation of the orders challenged before the Joint Commissioner concerned, be asked for, only before the said Joint Development Commissioner concerned.
4. Disposed of in the above terms.

(SURESHWAR THAKUR)

JUDGE

(LALIT BATRA)

JUDGE

January 10, 2024

Anjal*

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No