



201

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-48270-2024

Date of decision: 25.10.2024

Naveen

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR**Present:** Mr. Naveen Kashyap, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Geeta Sharma, DAG, Haryana.

HARPREET SINGH BRAR, J. (ORAL)

This petition has been filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. seeking anticipatory bail in case bearing FIR No.185 dated 05.06.2024 (Annexure P-1) under Sections 34/306 of IPC registered at Police Station Murthal, District Sonipat.

On 26.09.2024, the following order was passed:-

'The instant petition is preferred under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (for short 'Cr.P.C.') seeking anticipatory bail in FIR No.185 dated 05.06.2024 under Sections 34 & 306 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (for short 'IPC'), registered at Police Station Murthal, District Sonipat.

Learned counsel for the petitioner, inter alia, contends that the petitioner is brother-in-law of the deceased and no specific allegation has been levelled against him. The petitioner is not alleged to have played any active role in the commission of offence, which could have some proximity with the suicide of the deceased. The petitioner is residing separately. Co-accused namely Rakesh Kumar has already been granted the concession of interim anticipatory bail by this Court vide order dated 08.07.2024 passed in CRM-M- 31940-2024.

Notice of motion for 25.10.2024.

*Keeping in view the ratio of law enunciated by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **Arnesh Kumar Vs. State of Bihar, (2014) 8 SCC 273, Arnab Manoranjan Goswami Vs. State of Maharashtra, (2021) 2 SCC 427, Satender Kumar Antil Vs. CBI, (2022) 10 SCC 51, Siddharam Satlingappa Mhetre Vs. State of Maharashtra and ors., 2010 SCC OnLine SC 1375 and Shri Gurbaksh Singh Sibbia Vs. State of Punjab, (1980) 2 SCC 565**, the petitioner is directed to appear before Investigating Officer within a period of two weeks from*



CRM-M-48270-2024

-2-

today and thereafter, as directed by the Investigating Officer. In the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be admitted to interim bail on furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of investigating/arresting officer and the petitioner shall co-operate in the investigation.

If the arresting officer does not permit the petitioner to join the investigation, the petitioner would appear before the learned Illaqa Magistrate, who would then summon the arresting officer and direct him to join the petitioner in the investigation, in terms of the order of this Court.

Nothing observed hereinabove shall be construed as an expression of opinion by this Court and the trial Court shall decide the same on its own merits, strictly in accordance with law.'

Learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Reena, at the very outset informs the Court that the petitioner has joined the investigation and his custodial interrogation is not required.

In view of the statement of learned State counsel, order dated 26.09.2024 is hereby made absolute. The petitioner shall abide by the terms and conditions envisaged under Section 482 (2) of BNSS.

The petition stands disposed of.

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE

25.10.2024

Neha

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No