



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

(136)

CWP No.24489-2024(O&M)
DATE OF DECISION: 23.09.2024

Paramjit Singh

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

Dr.B.R.Ambedkar Regional Engineering College,
Jalandhar and others

.....Respondents

CORAM HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present Mr.Rajeev K. Sharma, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Charanpreet Singh, AAG, Punjab.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J (ORAL)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the services of the petitioner are not being regularized despite the fact that the benefit has been given to the similarly situated employees keeping in view the order passed by the Co-ordinate Bench of this Court in **CWP-6398-1999**, titled **Ashok Kumar and others v. Dr.B.R.Ambedkar Regional Engineering College**, decided on **18.09.2013**, which judgment has also been upheld by the Division Bench of this Court in **LPA No.436 of 2014**, titled as **Dr.B.R.Ambedkar Regional Engineering College, Jalandhar v. Ashok Kumar and others**, decided on **21.03.2014**.
2. Learned counsel submits that even the Special Leave Petition as filed, has also been dismissed and, therefore, once, the benefit of regularization



has been given to the similarly situated employees, the petitioner should have also be extended the same benefit.

3. Notice of motion.
4. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Charanpreet Singh, AAG, Punjab, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State.
5. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that in case any representation is received from the petitioners, the claim of the petitioners will be considered as per the judgment in **Ashok Kumar’s case (supra)** and appropriate order will be passed within a period of eight weeks from the receipt of any such representation and in case, it is found granted otherwise feasible, the request of the petitioner will be accepted, otherwise due reasons will be given while passing the order on the representation for not accepting the request of the petitioner and the same will be conveyed to the petitioner.
6. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in view of the statement of learned State counsel, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further with liberty to file appropriate representation raising the claim before the authority.
7. Ordered accordingly.

23.09.2024
mamta

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No