

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

203

CWP-31398-2019
Decided on :09.04.2024

Sumitra

. . . Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

. . . Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

PRESENT: Mr. S. K. Yadav, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Amandeep Joshi, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Alok Mittal, Advocate
for respondent Nos. 2 and 3.

VIKAS BAHL, J.(ORAL)

1. Present Civil Writ Petition has been filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India praying for issuance of a writ in the nature of certiorari for quashing order dated 09.08.2018 (Annexure P-4) passed by respondent No. 1, whereby, maintenance was awarded against the petitioner and land purchased by the petitioner was also stayed.

2. On 30.01.2024, this Court was pleased to pass the following order:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioner as well as private respondents have jointly submitted that there is a possibility of amicable settlement between the parties and matter can be finally resolved and thus, the same may kindly be sent to the Mediation and Conciliation Centre of this Court.

In view of the above, let the matter be sent to the Mediation and Conciliation Centre of this Court.

Both the parties are directed to appear before the Mediation and Conciliation Centre of this Court on 12.02.2024

Adjourned to 09.04.2024 for awaiting the report of the Mediator.

Interim order to continue.”

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner has pointed out that in the Mediation Centre, a settlement dated 22.02.2024 has been arrived at between the parties and has submitted that the present petition be disposed of in terms of the said settlement/agreement dated 22.02.2024.

4. A perusal of the file would show that a detailed settlement/agreement has been entered into between the parties before the Mediation Centre on 22.02.2024 and the said settlement/agreement has been placed on record and a perusal of the said settlement/agreement would show that respondent No. 2, who is a senior citizen, had given an affidavit dated 19.01.2024 in which he has stated that his wife Nihali Devi has died and that the matter has been settled between the petitioner and respondent No. 6 and he does not want to pursue any litigation between the parties.

5. Keeping in view the abovesaid facts and circumstances, the present Civil Writ Petition is disposed of in terms of the settlement/agreement dated 22.02.2024.

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

09.04.2024

Mehak

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No