



213

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-47925-2024**Date of Decision: 30.09.2024**

Harjit Kaur alias Jeeto

...Petitioner

VS.

State of Punjab

...Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice N.S.Shekhawat

Present : Mr. Malkiat S. Hundal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr.M.S.Bajwa, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

N.S.Shekhawat J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner has filed the instant petition under Section 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 with a prayer to grant regular bail in case FIR No.33 dated 18.03.2024 registered under Sections 307, 324, 323, 326, 336, 295, 427, 452, 506, 148, 149 of IPC and Sections 25, 27, 54, 59 of Arms Act, at Police Station Chattiwind, Amritsar.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that as per version of the prosecution, the petitioner had exhorted all other co-accused to cause injuries to the complainant side and no injury has been attributed to the petitioner. He further contends that in reality, the petitioner was not present at the place of occurrence and has been falsely involved. He further contends that all the injured have been discharged from the hospital and are hale and hearty. Apart from that, even the cross version in the shape of DDR No. 22 dated 24.05.2024 has been registered against the complainant party in the present

case. The petitioner was arrested on 28.05.2024 and is in custody for the last more than 04 months. Apart from that, no witness has been examined so far.

3. On the other hand, learned State counsel has vehemently opposed the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner on the ground that serious allegations have been levelled against the present petitioner and she does not deserve the concession of bail by this Court.

4. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

5. It is clear from the record that the petitioner had only exhorted to his co-accused to cause injuries and no other specific role has been assigned to him. Further, the petitioner is in custody for the last more than 04 months and no witness has been examined so far.

6. Thus, without commenting on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail subject to her furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate, concerned.

30.09.2024.
hemlata

(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No