



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

(141) CWP No.24385-2024(O&M)
DATE OF DECISION: 23.09.2024

Resham KaurPetitioner

VERSUS

Union of India and antoherRespondents


CORAM HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present Mr.S.S.Maini, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Komal Preet Chauhan, Sr.Panel Counsel,
for respondents no.1 and 2.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J (ORAL)

1. In the present petition, the grievance of the petitioner is that the petitioner had applied for re-issue of the passport but, the said application has not been decided by the respondents and kept pending which is causing prejudice to the petitioner and even the representation dated 28.08.2024 (Annexure P-1) is not being decided, hence, respondents be directed to decide the representation dated 28.08.2024 (Annexure P-1) in a time bound manner.
2. Notice of motion.
3. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Komal Preet Chauhan, Sr.Panel Counsel, who is present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents no.1 and 2 and submits that if representation dated 28.08.2024 (Annexure P-1) not already decided, the same will be decided by the authorities concerned within four weeks’ from the date of receipt of the copy of this order



by passing a speaking order and in case, it is possible to re-issue the passport, the same will be done, otherwise due reasons will be given while passing the order on the representation for not accepting the request of the petitioner and the same will be conveyed to the petitioner in the form of speaking order for her information and necessary action.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in view of the statement of learned counsel for the respondents, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

5. Ordered accordingly.

23.09.2024
mamta

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether reportable	No