



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP-9225-2024
Date of Decision:24.09.2024

Ashish Goel ...Petitioner

vs.

State of U.T., Chandigarh and others ...Respondents

Coram : Hon’ble Mr. Justice N.S.Shekhawat

Present: Mr. Hoshiar Singh Jaswal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Vipul Jindal, Addl.P.P., U.T, Chandigarh.

N.S.Shekhawat J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner has filed the present petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India with a prayer to quash the order dated 04.09.2024 (Annexure P-2) passed by the Inspector General of Police, Prisons, Chandigarh to the extent whereby the petitioner was directed to furnish bond and surety in the sum of Rs.50,000/- to the satisfaction of the District Magistrate, Ahemdabad, Gujrat.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that after the passing of the order (Annexure P-2), the petitioner had approached the office of respondent No.2 on 16.09.2024 for furnishing the bonds and surety, but the respondent No.2 refused to accept the same and told the family members of the petitioner to furnish the same before the jail authority, as they had furnished earlier. He submits that vide order dated 27.05.2024 passed by a Co-ordinate Bench of this Court, he was ordered to be released on parole for a period of 04

weeks, subject to the following conditions:-

- i) The petitioner shall provide a mobile number to be used by him while on parole to the Jail Superintendent;*
- ii) The petitioner shall provide details of his place of residence during the period of parole;*
- iii) The petitioner shall create an FDR for an amount of Rs.5,00,000/- and deposit the same with the Jail Superintendent. In case, the petitioner does not surrender on the 29th day, the FDR in question be encashed. However, on surrendering within time, the FDR shall be handed back to the petitioner and/or his representatives.*

3. He further submits that the impugned order (Annexure P-2) may be modified to the extent that the petitioner may be ordered to be released on parole subject to the same conditions.

4. On the other hand learned State counsel has no serious objection to the prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner.

5. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record carefully.

6. I find the prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner to be reasonable and the same is liable to be accepted by this Court.

7. Consequently, the petitioner/prisoner is ordered to be released on parole in terms of the order dated 04.09.2024 passed by the Inspector General of Police, Prisons, Chandigarh (Annexure P-2) subject to the petitioner complying with the same conditions:-

- i) The petitioner shall provide a mobile number to be used by him while on parole to the Jail Superintendent;
- ii) The petitioner shall provide details of his place of residence

during the period of parole;

iii) The petitioner shall create an FDR for an amount of Rs.5,00,000/- and deposit the same with the Jail Superintendent. In case, the petitioner does not surrender on the 29th day, the FDR in question be encashed. However, on surrendering within time, the FDR shall be handed back to the petitioner and/or his representatives.

8. The petition stands allowed in the above terms.

24.09.2024

hitesh

(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No