



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(117)

CWP No. 24286-2024
Date of Decision : 23.09.2024

Jagdeep Singh and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Parvesh K. Saini, Advocate for the petitioners.
Mr. Satnam Preet Singh, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

1. In the present petition, the grievance being raised by the petitioners is that all the posts which are lying vacant, are not being brought within the purview of transfer policy, which is arbitrary and illegal.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that no opportunity is being given to apply for the posts which are vacant in a particular District as the same have not been notified by the respondents while issuing the transfer policy.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners have already raised the said grievance in the representation dated 10.09.2024 (Annexure P-10) but the same is still pending consideration with the respondents and the petitioner will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a direction is issued to the respondents to decide the same by passing an appropriate speaking order in a time bound manner.



4. Notice of motion.

5. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Satnam Preet Singh, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and submits that in case, the representation dated 10.09.2024 (Annexure P-10) has been received in the office of the concerned authorities and the same is still pending consideration with the authorities concerned, the same will be decided by the competent authority within a period of four weeks from the date of the receipt of certified copy of this order by passing an appropriate speaking order. Learned counsel further submits that in case, it is found feasible to accept the claim of the petitioners, the same will be accepted, otherwise due reasons will be mentioned for not accepting the claim of the petitioners in the speaking order to be passed and the said order will be duly conveyed to the petitioners.

6. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement of learned State counsel, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

7. Ordered accordingly.

September 23, 2024
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether reportable : No