



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

(125)

CWP NO.24211-2024
DATE OF DECISION: 20.09.2024

Malkit SinghPetitioner

VERSUS

State of Punjab and anotherRespondents

CORAM HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present Mr. Vikas Chatrath, Advocate,
with Mr. Akshat Kalia, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr.Satnam Preet Singh Chauhan, AAG, Punjab.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J, (ORAL)

1. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that there is no complaint qua the working of the petitioner in the department but the wife of the petitioner, is making complaints keeping in view the matrimonial dispute and petitioner has been transferred from Mohali to Gurdaspur on the basis of one such complaint made by the wife of the petitioner.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the transfer is to be made on the basis of the exigency of service and not on the basis of complaints and even otherwise, the petitioner has been transferred 250 kms away despite the fact that the petitioner could have been adjusted at any nearby station so that he could attend to his old aged ailing mother.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has already filed a representation dated 11.09.2024, a copy of which has been appended as (Annexure P-9) and the petitioner will be satisfied at this stage in case a time bound direction is issued to the respondents to decide the same by passing appropriate speaking order.



4. Notice of motion.
5. Mr.Satnam Preet Singh Chauhan, AAG, Punjab, who is present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.
6. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that in case any representation has been received, the same will be decided by the authorities concerned within a period of three weeks by passing a speaking order and in case, it is found feasible to transfer the petitioner to a nearby station where the parents of the petitioner are living, appropriate relief be given otherwise due reasons will be mentioned in the speaking order for not accepting the claim of the petitioner for his information and necessary action.
7. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement of learned counsel for the respondents, the present writ petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.
8. Ordered accordingly.

20.09.2024
mamta

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No