



CRM-M-47332-2024

1

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(213)

CRM-M-47332-2024
Date of Decision : 22.10.2024

Gurpreet Singh @ Guri ...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab ...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present: Mr. K.S. Brar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sahil R. Bakshi, AAG, Punjab.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J.(Oral)

1. This Court, on dated 23.09.2024, had passed the hereinafter
extracted order, upon the instant petition:-

*“Through the instant petition filed under Section 482 of the
Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (BNSS), prayer
is made for grant of 'anticipatory bail' to the petitioner in
case FIR no.116, dated 19.08.2024 (Annexure P-1), under
Sections 419, 420, 465, 467, 468, 471 and 120-B of the
IPC, registered at Police Station City-I, Malerkotla,
District Malerkotla.*

*Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
petitioner is not at all aware about the genuineness of the
documents which were submitted at the time of seeking
sapurdari of the truck.*

*He further submits that the moment, the petitioner came to
know about the instant fraud being played, he initially,
deposited the truck and got the sapurdari cancelled.*

Notice of motion.

Mr. Pardeep Bajaj, DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf



of respondent-State, and waives service.

Adjourned to 22.10.2024.

Meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation and on his doing so, the petitioner be released on interim bail subject to his furnishing personal bonds and surety to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer. However, the petitioner shall continue to join the investigation as and when called upon to do so, and shall abide by the conditions as provided under Section 482(2) BNSS, 2023.”

2. Today, the learned State counsel on instructions imparted to him from the Investigating Officer concerned, stated that pursuant to the making of the hereinabove extracted order, the petitioner(s) had joined investigation and he is no longer required for further custodial interrogation.

3. In view of the above, the hereinabove extracted interim order dated 23.09.2024, is hereby made absolute, subject to the hereinafter extracted conditions:-

“(i) the petitioner(s) shall not commit an offence similar to the present offence;

(ii) the petitioner(s) shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case;

(iii) the petitioner(s) shall make himself/herself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required.”

4. This order should not be treated as “blanket” order. It will not be read granting petitioner(s) indefinite protection from arrest. It shall be confined to the FIR mentioned ibid and will not operate in respect of any other incident that involves commission of an offence.

5. Needless to say that anything observed hereinabove shall not be construed to be an opinion on the merits of the case.

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

October 22, 2024
Manpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No