

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CM-7237-CWP-2018 in/and
CWP-20431-2015(O&M)
Date of decision: 13.03.2024

Anil Gupta and others
... Petitioners
Versus
State of Punjab and others
... Respondents

CORAM: HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI
HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAM AGGARWAL

Present: Mr. Sanjiv Gupta, Advocate,
for the petitioners.
Mr. Shekhar Verma, Addl. AG, Punjab.
Mr. R.S. Khosla, Senior Advocate, with
Mr. Yogender Verma, Advocate,
for the respondent(s)-GMADA.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

CM-7237-CWP-2018

For the reasons set out in the application, which are duly supported by an affidavit, the same is allowed. Consequently, with the consent of the learned counsel for the parties, the main petition, which is pending post admission, is taken on board.

Main case

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that before the premises in question was sealed, neither the petitioners were served with any show cause notice nor any formal order in this regard was passed. However, having argued the matter at some length, he submits that he be permitted to withdraw the petition so as to enable the petitioners to avail such other

remedies as shall be admissible in law, as also to move a comprehensive representation to the competent authority itself qua their concerns/grievances as sought to be raised in the petition, including the action of the authority vide which the premises is alleged to have been sealed.

In response, learned Senior counsel appearing for the respondent(s)-GMADA submits that in case any such representation is moved by the petitioners, the same shall be considered and dealt with by the competent authority in accordance with law, as expeditiously as possible.

Dismissed as withdrawn with the liberty prayed for.

(Arun Palli)
Judge

(Vikram Aggarwal)
Judge

13.03.2024
Rajan

Whether speaking / reasoned:	YES/NO
Whether Reportable:	YES/NO