



CRWP No. 9238 of 2024

110 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP No. 9238 of 2024

Date of Decision: 23.09.2024

Rajdeep Kaur

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Mr. Nirmaljeet Singh Sidhu, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Swati Batra, D.A.G., Punjab.

ANOOP CHITKARA, J.

1. Seeking issuance of appropriate directions to the respondent- State to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner from the hands of respondents No.3 to 8, the petitioner has come up before this Court under Article 226 of Constitution of India.

2. Counsel for the petitioner submits that simply because the husband of the petitioner has criminal antecedents and because of this, the aforesaid respondents are troubling her. Counsel for the petitioner confines his prayer to the extent of deciding the representation of the petitioner (Annexure P-1) in a time bound manner.

3. Notice served upon the official respondent through State counsel. The nature of order which this Court proposes to pass, there is no necessity of calling any response from the State. State counsel submits that there is no need for the petitioner to fear from the respondents as they are to maintain law and order and protect people from injustice.

4. State counsel submits that they would take a decision on the same within a period of three months.

5. In view of prayer made by counsel for the petitioner, respondent No.2 is directed to decide representation dated 04.09.2024 (Annexure P-1) himself/herself or



CRWP No. 9238 of 2024

by delegating the same to any officer not below the rank of PPS, within a period of two months by passing a speaking and reasoned order and such order be also communicated to the petitioner as well as his counsel on the e-mail ID provided to State counsel during the course of day.

6. There would be no need for a certified copy of this order, and any Advocate for the Petitioner and State can download this order and other relevant particulars from the official web page of this court and attest it to be a true copy.

7. Petition is allowed with the observations mentioned above. All pending applications, if any, stand disposed.

(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE

23.09.2024
Jyoti Sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes
Whether reportable:	No.