

103 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

2024:PHHC:021434

CM-20878-CWP-2023 in/and
CWP-9939-2016
Date of Decision: 14.02.2024

SUDERSHAN KUMAR Vs. THE PRESIDING OFFICER & ORS
...Petitioner ...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Sanjeev Sharma, Advocate (Legal Aid Counsel).

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (Oral)

CM-20878-CWP-2023

The present application has been filed under Order 9 Rule 9 read with
Section 151 CPC for restoration of the suit to its original number

CM is allowed as prayed for. The petition is restored to its original
number and is taken up on board today itself for hearing.

CWP-9939-2016

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been
denied the benefit of salary and employment only on the ground that the petitioner
was the employee of one M/s Tridev Security Services, Chandigarh, which was not
a party before the Labour Court.

2 Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the present petition
may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further with
liberty to the petitioner to agitate his claim against the said M/s Tridev Security
Service, Chandigarh by availing appropriate remedy as admissible to the petitioner
under law.

3. Ordered accordingly.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
(JUDGE)

14.02.2024

kv

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No