



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(122)

CWP No. 24327 of 2024
Date of Decision : 23.09.2024

Lal Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Ms. Gitanjali Chhabra, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Arun Gupta, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the claim of the petitioner for the grant of notional increment on completion of one year service before retirement has not been extended despite the fact that the claim of the petitioner is covered by the judgment passed by this Court in CWP No. 32598 of 2019 titled as ***Gurdev Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and another***, decided on 16.03.2022, which judgment has already been upheld by the LPA Bench in LPA No. 696 of 2022, hence, the respondents are under obligation to grant the petitioner the benefit of judgment in ***Gurdev Singh and others (supra)***.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has already raised the said grievance in the representation dated 14.03.2024/24.05.2024 (Annexure P-1) but the same is still pending consideration with the respondents and the petitioner will be satisfied, at this



stage, in case a direction is issued to the respondents to decide the same by passing an appropriate speaking order in a time bound manner.

3. Notice of motion.

4. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Arun Gupta, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and submits that in case, the representation dated 14.03.2024/24.05.2024 (Annexure P-1) has been received in the office of the concerned authorities and the same is still pending consideration with the authorities concerned, the same will be decided by the competent authority within a period of eight weeks from the date of the receipt of certified copy of this order by passing an appropriate speaking order. Learned counsel further submits that in case, it is found feasible to accept the claim of the petitioner, the same will be accepted, otherwise due reasons will be mentioned for not accepting the claim of the petitioner in the speaking order to be passed and the said order will be duly conveyed to the petitioner.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement of learned State counsel, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

6. Ordered accordingly.

September 23, 2024
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether reportable : No