



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

(128)

CWP NO.24444-2024(O&M)
DATE OF DECISION: 23.09.2024

Baljeet Singh

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present Mr.Sunny Singla, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr.Arun Gupta, DAG, Punjab
(keeping in view the advance copy supplied).

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J (ORAL)

1. After arguing for some time, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the respondents have not considered the actual facts of the case which will show that the respondent no.3 is promoted as Sewadar by giving him benefit of reservation against the post which is to be filled from category, keeping in view the number of posts in the cadre hence, petitioner intends to file a comprehensive representation on behalf of the petitioner and the respondents be directed to pass an appropriate order on the same on the basis of fresh evidence, which will be brought to the notice of the respondents / State qua the claim of the petitioner.

2. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that in case any fresh fact / document, which was not before the department while passing the impugned order dated 02.07.2024 (Annexure P-13), is brought to the notice of the department which necessitate the re-consideration of the claim of the

petitioner, the same will be done and appropriate order will be passed on the said representation within a period of eight weeks.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the present petition may kindly be disposed of as not pressed with liberty to file appropriate representation with the material so as to justify the claim of the petitioner.

4. Ordered accordingly.

23.09.2024
mamta

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether reportable	No