



CWP-24003-2024 (O&M)

1

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-24003-2024
Decided on 23.09.2024**

Sukhvir Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAM AGGARWAL**

Present : Mr. Chetan Mittal, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Kunal Mulwani, Advocate and
Mr. Ritvik Garg, Advocate
for the petitioner.

ARUN PALLI, J (Oral)

Served with the advance copy of the petition, Mr. Jastej Singh, learned Deputy Advocate General, Punjab is present in Court on behalf of respondent No.1. Mr. Sunish Bindlish, Advocate is present on behalf of the Food Corporation of India (respondents No.4 to 7) and Mr. A.B.S.Sidhu, Advocate is present on behalf of respondent No.8.

Learned counsel for respondents No.4 to 7 submits that respondent No.8 (Angrej Singh) was declared technically responsive/compliant and upon being adjudged L1, was awarded the contract on July 16, 2024. He further submits that respondent No.8 is infact executing the work and work valuing Rs.50 lakhs has already been executed. He further submits that even if, for the sake of arguments, respondent No.8 is held to be technically ineligible, the only and inevitable option for respondents No.4 to 7 would be to scrap the tendering process and invite tender afresh. It is submitted that in any



CWP-24003-2024 (O&M) 2

case, the petitioner being L3, would not be entitled to stake his claim for award of the contract.

Faced with this, learned counsel for the petitioner fairly submits that it would be futile to pursue the matter any further. Accordingly, he, upon instructions, submits that he be permitted to withdraw the instant petition.

Dismissed as withdrawn.

However, the questions that arise for consideration in the matter are kept open, to be decided in appropriate proceedings.

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

(VIKRAM AGGARWAL)
JUDGE

23.09.2024
mamta

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No