



CWP-4633-2016 (O&M) -1-

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

208

CWP-4633-2016 (O&M)
Date of Decision :23.09.2024

Vinod Kumar Sharma and others

..Petitioners

Versus

Punjab School Education Board and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present:- Ms. Zaheen Kaur, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Satnam Preet Singh Chahuan, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. S.S. Behl, Advocate for respondent-Board.

* * *

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in the present petition, the grievance being raised by the petitioner is that they are working on the post of Assistant Secretary, to which post, they were promoted from the post of Superintendent whereas, the respondent-State have revised the pay scale of the post of Superintendent equivalent to that of Assistant Secretary, which is causing prejudice to the petitioner as, the promotion of the petitioners from the post of Superintendent to that of Assistant Secretary has become meaningless.

Learned counsel for the petitioners very fairly submits that during the pendency of the present petition, the respondents have reconsidered the said aspect and again granted the feeder cadre post of

**CWP-4633-2016 (O&M) -2-**

Superintendent a lesser pay scale than that of Assistant Secretary though, prospectively.

Learned counsel for the petitioners further submits that keeping in view the said fact one of the grievance of the petitioners that the feeder cadre as well as promotional cadre carrying the same pay scale does not exist any further.

Learned counsel for the petitioners argues that the grievance of the petitioner is that petitioners while working as Assistant Secretary were entitled for same pay scale as being given to the Assistant Secretaries working in the Punjab University as well as in other departments, which claim of the petitioners is not being decided by the respondents, which further is causing prejudice to them.

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that Coordinate Bench of this Court vide order dated 19.11.2019 had directed the State to take appropriate decision on the said plea of the petitioners but no such decision has been taken by the respondents even after the expiry of 05 years.

Learned counsel for the respondent-State submits that in case, no decision on the said plea of the petitioners has been taken by the respondents so far, an appropriate order as to whether the petitioners are entitled for parity with the post of Assistant Secretary of the other Universities, will be passed in the light of Annexure P/4 dated 31.10.1992, within a period of 04 months from the date of receipt of copy of this order and in case, it is found feasible to grant the relief to the petitioners, the same will be extended to them otherwise, due reasons for the not accepting



CWP-4633-2016 (O&M) -3-

the claim of the petitioners will be mentioned in the speaking order so passed, which will be conveyed to the petitioners for information and necessary action.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that keeping in view the statement made by learned counsel for the respondent-State, present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

Ordered accordingly.

Civil miscellaneous application pending, if any, is also disposed of.

September 23, 2024
aarti

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No