



CRM-M-46942-2024

-1-

**131 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-46942-2024 (O&M)**

Date of Decision: 19.09.2024

Munish Kumar

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ**

Present: Mr. Mayur Karkra, Advocate, for the petitioner.

**Rajesh Bhardwaj, J. (ORAL)**

1. Prayer in the present petition is for issuance of directions to the official respondents to decide and take action upon the representation dated 12.09.2024 (Annexure P-15) filed by the petitioner against private respondents No.7 to 9 for moving false complaints time and again against the petitioner even after previous complaints of similar nature have already been filed by the Police officials and further seeking directions to be issued to respondents No.2 and 3 to refrain from marking multiple enquiries/parallel enquiries in aforementioned matter time and again especially once respondent no.6 has flouted herself the terms and conditions of the compromise dated 12.12.2023 (P-9) and whereafter *ex-parte* divorce decree has already been granted in favour of the petitioner vide order dated 31.05.2024 (P-11). Further prayer has been made for issuance of direction to respondents No.2 and 3 for restraining respondent No.6 from taking any action or calling the petitioner in Police Station time and again by threatening the petitioner as can be seen from the voice recordings (Annexure P-14) wherein the petitioner is being threatened to visit the Police Post Officers Colony by respondent No.6 on an application allegedly

given by respondents No.7 to 9 against petitioner on similar facts as earlier applications and report (Annexure P-6) have been given since now after passing of divorce decree dated 31.05.2024 (Annexure P-11) neither respondent No.7 is legally wedded wife of petitioner nor has any concern with the petitioner.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner has already filed a representation dated 12.09.2024 (Annexure P-15) before respondent No.3, however, no action has been taken on the same till date.

3. Notice of motion to the official respondents only.

4. Mr. Tarun Aggarwal, Sr. DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State.

5. After hearing learned counsel for the parties, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.3 i.e. Senior Superintendent of Police, Patiala to decide the representation dated 12.09.2024 (Annexure P-15) filed by the petitioner in accordance with law within a period of four weeks from today.

19.09.2024

sharmila

(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)

JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned

:

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

:

Yes/No