

2024:PHHC:139840



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

108

CWP-24262-2024
Date of Decision : 24.10.2024

PUNJAB AND SIND BANK

.... PETITIONER

V/S

ASSISTANT LABOUR COMMISSIONER-CUM-CONTROLLING
AUTHORITY UNDER THE PAYMNET OF GRATUITY ACT, 1972
AND ORS

.... RESPONDENTS

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present :- Mr.R.Kartikeya, Advocate
Mr. R. Akanksha, Advocate and
Mr. Jatinder Khurana, Advocate
for the petitioner.


Mr. Raman Sharma, Addl.A.G., Haryana with
Mr. Hemang Dimngel, Deputy Chief Labour Commissioner
and Appellate Authority.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. On 23.09.2024, the following order was passed :

“Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner- bank is regularly filing appeals before the Appellate Authority constituted under the Payment of Gratuity Act, 1972 because said authority is not passing order. If an appropriate order is passed, it would save valuable time and energy of the bank. The Appellate Authority heard a bunch of 31 appeals in December’ 2023 and reserved

2024:PHHC:139840



the judgment on 14.12.2023. Still, order has not been pronounced.

Adjourned to 18.10.2024.

The Appellate Authority is hereby directed to appear before this Court on the adjourned date through V.C. Registry is directed to send copy of this order to respondent No.2.

Process Dasti as well.”

- 2. Mr. Hemang Dimngel, Deputy Chief Labour Commissioner and Appellate Authority is present in Court. He submits that orders have already been passed and dispatched to the petitioner. He further submits that the appeal which is filed along with application seeking condonation of delay would be decided in due course.
- 3. Faced with this, Mr. Kartikeya does not press the present petition.
- 4. Dismissed as not pressed.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

24.10.2024
anju

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether Reportable : Yes/No