



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-44178-2016

Date of Decision:28.08.2024

Harnam Singh

...Petitioner

vs.

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

Coram : **Hon'ble Mr. Justice N.S.Shekhawat**

Present: Ms. G.K Mann, Sr.Advocate with
 Ms. Simrat Kaur, Advocate
 for the petitioner.

Ms. Sheenu Sura, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

Mr. Lalit K. Gupta, Advocate
for respondent No.4.

Mr. Manoj Kumar, Advocate
for respondent No.5.

Mr. Aadarsh Dubey, Advocate
for respondents No.6 to 8.

N.S.Shekhawat J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner has filed the present petition under Section 482 of Cr.P.C with a prayer to issue appropriate directions to respondents No.1 to 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner and to order the registration of the FIR against the private respondents.

2. At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that she will confine her prayer only to the relief to direct the official respondents to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner and for other reliefs claimed in the petition, she will avail her alternative remedies, in accordance with law.

3. Learned State counsel submits that a complaint was received from

the petitioner and during the course of enquiry, the petitioner did not co-operate with the Investigating Officer of the present case. Learned State counsel further further submits that after holding the enquiry, a detailed enquiry report was prepared and the allegations levelled by the complainant were found to be not proved and the enquiry has been closed in the present case. She further submits that since no complaint is pending with the police at present, the petitioner shall not called or questioned by any police official in connection with the complaints submitted by the present petitioner. She further submits that the life and liberty of the petitioner shall be protected and regular patrolling shall be done by the SHO Police Station, Sector-5, Panchkula near the residence of the petitioner. She has further assured the Court in case, any application is received from the petitioner with regard to threat to his life and liberty, appropriate and prompt action shall be taken, in accordance with law.

4. In view of the statement made by learned State counsel, no further directions are required and the present petition is accordingly, disposed of.

5. Needless to observe that the petitioner shall be at liberty to avail his alternative remedy, if any, in accordance with law with regard to the other reliefs claimed in the present petition.

28.08.2024
hitesh

(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No