



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(127)

CWP No. 24434 of 2024
Date of Decision : 23.09.2024

Amarjit Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Amrik Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Arun Gupta, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

1. In the present petition, the prayer of the petitioner is that the petitioner has not been paid his pensionary benefits within the time frame as prescribed by the Full Bench of this Court in **A.S. Randhawa Vs. State of Punjab and others**, 1997(3) SCT 468 hence, petitioner has become entitled for the grant of interest on the said delayed released amount, which is also not being paid for the reasons best known to the respondents, hence, the respondents be directed to pay interest on the said amount.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has already raised the said grievance in the legal notice dated 30.07.2024 (Annexure P-4) but the same is still pending consideration with the respondents and the petitioner will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a direction is issued to the respondents to decide the same by passing an appropriate speaking order in a time bound manner.



3. Notice of motion.

4. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Arun Gupta, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and submits that in case, the legal notice dated 30.07.2024 (Annexure P-4) has been received in the office of the concerned authorities and the same is still pending consideration with the authorities concerned, the same will be decided by the competent authority within a period of eight weeks from the date of the receipt of certified copy of this order by passing an appropriate speaking order. Learned counsel further submits that in case, it is found feasible to accept the claim of the petitioner, the same will be accepted, otherwise due reasons will be mentioned for not accepting the claim of the petitioner in the speaking order to be passed and the said order will be duly conveyed to the petitioner.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement of learned State counsel, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

6. Ordered accordingly.

September 23, 2024
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether reportable : No