



238

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH****CRM-M-48522-2024**

Date of Decision: 28.10.2024

Amandeep Singh Benipal

...Petitioner

Versus

State of UT, Chandigarh and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR**Present:** None for the petitioner.

Mr. Shashank Bhandari, Addl, PP, UT, Chandigarh.

None for respondent No.2.

HARPREET SINGH BRAR, J. (ORAL)

1. This petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. seeking quashing of FIR No.88 dated 26.06.2024 under Sections 406/498-A of IPC registered at Police Station Women Police Station, Chandigarh (Annexure P-1) along with all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 16.09.2024 (Annexure P-2)

2. The following order was passed on 27.09.2024 :-

"This petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. seeking quashing of FIR No.0088 dated 26.06.2024 under Sections 406/498-A of IPC registered at Police Station Women Police Station, Chandigarh (Annexure P-1) along with all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 16.09.2024 (Annexure P-2).

Notice of motion for 28.10.2024.

At this stage, on the asking of the Court, Mr. Anupam Bansal, Addl.P.P., U.T. Chandigarh accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.1-State. Copy of the paper book be supplied to him during the course of day. Learned counsel for the petitioner undertakes to secure the presence of

respondent No.2 before the learned trial Court at the time of recording the statement.

In the meanwhile, the parties are directed to appear before the learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate within two weeks from today or any other date convenient to the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate, to get their statements recorded regarding compromise and after recording their statements, learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate is directed to send report regarding the genuineness of compromise and also to intimate whether any PO proceedings are pending against any of the party on or before the date fixed.

A copy of the order be sent to learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate through fax for compliance.”

3. In compliance of the aforesaid order, a report has been received from the concerned jurisdictional Court that the compromise between the parties is genuine and arrived at without any pressure or coercion from anyone.

4. In view of the compromise and the ratio of law laid down by the Hon’ble Supreme Court in ***Narinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and another, (2014) 6 SCC 466, Ramgopal and another Vs. State of Madhya Pradesh 2021 SCC OnLine SC 834*** and ***Shakuntala Sawhney (Mrs) Vs. Kaushalya (Mrs.) and others (1980) 1 SCC 63*** and Full Bench of this Court in ***Kulwinder Singh Vs. State of Punjab 2007 (3) RCR (CrL.) 1052***, this petition is allowed and FIR No.88 dated 26.06.2024 under Sections 406/498-A of IPC registered at Police Station Women Police Station, Chandigarh (Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings arising out of the same are quashed, qua the petitioner.

28.10.2024
Parveen kumar

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :Yes/No
Whether reportable :Yes/No