



CRR(F)-1217-2024 (O&M)

1

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

114-2

CRM-38352-2024 in/and
CRR(F)-1217-2024 (O&M)
Date of decision: 29.11.2024

Ruby and another

...Petitioner

V/s

Munender Kumar

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUMEET GOEL

Present: Mr. Lokesh Sharma, Advocate for the applicant-petitioners.
Mr. M.S. Kundu, Advocate for the respondent.

SUMEET GOEL, J. (Oral)

CRM-38352-2024

The instant application has been filed seeking condonation of delay of 192 days in filing the revision petition, which has been preferred by the petitioner-wife seeking enhancement of the interim maintenance.

It is pertinent to mention herein that the respondent-husband, in the present revision petition, has also laid challenge to the impugned order by filing a connected revision petition i.e. CRR(F)-423-2024. Notably, the said revision petition filed by the respondent-husband seeking setting-aside of the impugned order, has been dismissed on merits by this Court vide separate order of even date.

Given that the impugned order was subjected to challenge by both the parties and in view of the dismissal of the respondent-husband revision petition on merits, the present application for condonation of delay of 192 days is allowed only in the interest of justice and to avoid conflicting

adjudications on the same matter.



CRR(F)-1217-2024 (O&M)

CRR(F)-1217-2024

For orders see CRR(F)-423-2024 titled as *Munender Kumar vs. Ruby and another*, of even date.

(SUMEET GOEL)
JUDGE

November 29, 2024
Ajay

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No