



CWP-25026-2024 1

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(117) CWP-25026-2024
Date of Decision : September 27, 2024

Kiran Bala .. Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others .. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Tajinder Pal Singh Makkar, Advocate, for the petitioner.
(joined through VC)

Mr. T.P.S. Chawla, Sr. Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

1. In the present writ petition, the grievance of the petitioner the petitioner was asked to discharge the duties of the post of Head Mistress, which involves the higher responsibilities but the salary of the post of Head Mistress has not been extended to the petitioner, which is causing prejudice to her.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is entitled for the salary of the post of Head Mistress keeping in view the judgment of the Full Bench of this Court in *CWP No. 21358 of 2008 titled as Subhash Chander Vs. State of Haryana and others, decided on 20.12.2011.*
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the grievance raised in the present petition has already been raised by the

**CWP-25026-2024****2**

petitioner in the legal notice dated 18.06.2024 (Annexure P-9) which is still pending consideration with the respondents and the petitioner will be satisfied at this stage in case a time bound direction is issued to the respondents to decide the said legal notice by passing an appropriate speaking order.

4. Notice of motion.

5. Mr. T.P.S. Chawla, learned Sr. Deputy Advocate General, Punjab, who is present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.

6. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that in case the legal notice dated 18.06.2024 (Annexure P-9) has been received in the office of the concerned authorities and the same is still pending consideration with the authorities concerned, the same will be decided by the competent authority within a period of eight weeks from the date of the receipt of certified copy of this order by passing an appropriate speaking order and in case, after the decision any relief is to be extended to the petitioner, the same will be extended, otherwise due reasons will be mentioned in the speaking order to be passed for not accepting the claim of the petitioner for her information and necessary action.

7. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement of learned State counsel, the present writ petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

8. Ordered accordingly.

September 27, 2024*harsha***(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)****JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No