



CRWP-9145-2024

-1-

114

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-9145-2024

Date of Decision: September 19, 2024

Jaspreet Kaur and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ

Present: Mr.A.P.Chaudhary, Advocate
for the petitioners.

.....

RAJESH BHARDWAJ, J.(ORAL)

1. Present petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for directing respondents No.2 and 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners from the hands of respondents No.4 to 6, who are apprehending danger to their life and liberty as the petitioners have solemnized their marriage against the wishes of parents and family members. It is further prayed to issue direction to respondents No.2 and 3 not to interfere in the matrimonial life of the petitioners and not to registered any false criminal case against the petitioners and in-laws of petitioner No.1 at the behest of respondents No.4 to 6 and family members of petitioner No.1.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners states that both the petitioners are major and have married against the wishes of respondents No.4 to 6 and have sought protection to their life and liberty. They apprehend danger from respondents No.4 to 6. Their marriage certificate and



photographs evidencing their marriage are on record as Annexures P-3 and P-4. The petitioners have submitted a representation dated 16.09.2024 (Annexure P-5) to respondent No.2.

- 3. Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 3-State only.
- 4. On asking of the Court, Mr.Tarun Aggarwal, Sr.DAG, Punjab, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents/State.
- 5. Without entering upon an exercise to evaluate the evidentiary value of the documents placed on the file, I dispose of the petition with a direction to respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Patiala, to decide the representation (Annexure P-5) of the petitioners and grant them protection, if any threat to their life and liberty is perceived. It is clarified that this order shall not be taken to grant immunity to the petitioners from legal action for violation of law, if any, committed by them. This order would not be understood having expressed any opinion whatsoever by this Court on the validity of the marriage of the petitioners.

September 19, 2024	(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)
meenuss	JUDGE
1. Whether speaking/reasoned ?	Yes/No
2. Whether reportable ?	Yes/No