

CRM-M-49579-2023

2024:PHHC:031915
--1--

269-a **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-49579-2023
DATE OF DECISION:-05.03.2024

Virender

...Petitioner..

vs.

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents..

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARKESH MANUJA

Present: Mr. Deepam Raghav, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajiv Sidhu, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Vikram Rana, Advocate,
for respondents No.2 and 3.

HARKESH MANUJA, J. (Oral)

1. By way of present petition filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C., the petitioner prays for quashing of FIR No.100, dated 27.04.2023, registered under Sections 323, 506 and 342 IPC, at Police Station DLF Phase-I, Gurugram (Annexure P-1) and all other subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 04.09.2023 (Annexure P-2).
2. As per the allegations levelled in the FIR, the petitioner having abused respondent No.3-victim, forcefully removed the boom barrier without paying the toll charges, besides it, he also threatened to kill him.
3. In pursuance of order dated 07.12.2023 passed by this Court, whereby parties were directed to appear before the Trial Court for getting their statements recorded as regards the veracity of compromise arrived at between them, report dated 20.12.2023 has been received from the

concerned Court, stating that the compromise between the complainant, aggrieved person and the accused person is genuine, voluntary and valid, as it is not the result of any undue influence or coercion. No accused has been declared as proclaimed person.

4. Once, the compromise has been arrived at between the parties without any pressure and respondents No.2 & 3 having no objection as regards quashing of FIR as well as all other subsequent proceedings arising out of the same against the petitioner; there does not appear to be any impediment as regards quashing of present FIR qua the petitioner. Even otherwise, in order to maintain peace and harmony between the parties, particularly under the circumstances wherein the alleged offences have no societal interest involved, it would be appropriate to render complete quietus to the aforementioned dispute by quashing the FIR on the basis of compromise entered into between the parties.

5. The parties having settled their dispute so as to live in peace in future, no useful purpose would be served by proceeding further with the criminal proceedings. In the light of above developments, no cause remains for the Trial Court to invest further time and effort in adjudicating this FIR. The compromise in question is even found to be fully in consonance with the direction issued by the Court in ***Kulwinder Singh & Ors. Vs. State of Punjab 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052 and Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab & Anr., 2012(4) RCR (Crl.) 543.***

6. Thus, in view of the aforesaid facts, accompanied by statements of both the parties as well as keeping in mind the law laid down in the aforementioned judgments, FIR No.100, dated 27.04.2023, registered under Sections 323, 506 and 342 IPC, at Police Station DLF Phase-I, Gurugram as

well as all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed qua the petitioner.

7. Accordingly, petition stands allowed subject to payment of costs of Rs.10,000/- to be deposited by the petitioner with the Poor Patient Welfare Fund, PGIMER, Chandigarh within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

05.03.2024
sonika

whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
whether reportable: Yes/No

(HARKESH MANUJA)
JUDGE