

COCp-2425-2022

Date of Decision :30.11.2022

Satpal and others

...Petitioners

Versus

TVSN Prasad, IAS and others

....Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. WaliaPresent: Mr. Abhishek Bansal, Advocate for
Mr. Ravinder Malik (Ravi), Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Pawan Kumar Longia, DAG, Haryana.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in the petition is for initiation of proceedings against the respondents for intentional and willful defiance of order Annexure P/1 dated 04.08.2022, in CWP-19160-2015.

2. A perusal of order Annexure P/1 reveals that CWP-19160-2015, was allowed and the respondents were directed to grant the benefit of regularization to the petitioner along with monetary benefits as had been granted to the counterparts of the petitioner vide office order dated 05.08.2014, with needful to be done within three months from the date of order.

3. Learned DAG, Haryana, has filed copy of affidavit of Shri Udai Singh Meena, IPS, SP, Rohtak i.e. respondent No.3 and refers to order Annexure R/1 dated 23.11.2022, as per which, operation of judgment and order dated 04.08.2022, in CWP-19160-2015, has been stayed. The same is taken on record. Copy thereof supplied to learned counsel for the petitioners, who states that in the circumstances, he does

the petitioners to move an application for revival of the instant petition in the eventuality of order of stay being modified/LPA being decided in favour of the petitioners, whichever is earlier.

4. The same is not opposed to by the learned DAG, Haryana.

5. In view of the position noted above as well as statement of learned counsel for the parties, the instant petition is *disposed of* as not calling for any action against the respondents under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971, while granting liberty to the petitioner as prayed for.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

30.11.2022

rajesh

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No