

**CRM-M-47510-2024**  
**Date of decision: 23.10.2024**

**...PETITIONER**

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER

## ...RESPONDENTS

Present: Mr. Kanhiya Soni, Advocate for  
Mr. Sunil Kumar Bhorla, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rishabh Singla, AAG, Punjab.

\*\*\*\*

**HARPREET SINGH BRAR, J. (ORAL)**

1. This petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. seeking quashing of FIR No.48 dated 26.04.2023 under Sections 498-A and 406 of IPC registered at Police Station Women Cell, District Jalandhar (Annexure P-1) along with all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of decree of divorce under Section 13-B dated 17.05.2024 (Annexure P-2).
2. The following order was passed on 23.09.2024 :-

*“This petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. seeking quashing of FIR No.48 dated 26.04.2023 under Sections 498-A and 406 of IPC registered at Police Station Women Cell, District Jalandhar (Annexure P-1) along with all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of decree of divorce under Section 13-B dated 17.05.2024 (Annexure P-2).*

*Notice of motion.*

*At this stage, on the asking of the Court, Mr. Subhash Godara, Addl. A.G., Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.1-State. Copy of the paper book be supplied to them during the course of day.*

*Adjourned to 23.10.2024.*



*Learned counsel for the petitioner is directed to ensure the presence of respondent No.2, at the time of recording the statement.*

*In the meanwhile, the parties are directed to appear before the learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate within two weeks from today or any other date convenient to the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate, to get recorded their statements regarding compromise and after recording their statements, learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate is directed to send report regarding the genuineness of compromise and also to intimate whether any PO proceedings are pending against any of the party on or before the date fixed i.e. 23.10.2024.*

*A copy of the order be sent to learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate through fax for compliance.”*

3. In compliance of the aforesaid order, a report has been received from the concerned jurisdictional Court that the compromise between the parties is genuine and arrived at without any pressure or coercion from anyone.
4. In view of the compromise and the ratio of law laid down by the Hon’ble Supreme Court in **Narinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and another, (2014) 6 SCC 466, Ramgopal and another Vs. State of Madhya Pradesh 2021 SCC OnLine SC 834** and **Shakuntala Sawhney (Mrs) Vs. Kaushalya (Mrs.) and others (1980) 1 SCC 63** and Full Bench of this Court in **Kulwinder Singh Vs. State of Punjab 2007 (3) RCR (Crl.) 1052**, this petition is allowed and FIR No.48 dated 26.04.2023 under Sections 498-A and 406 of IPC registered at Police Station Women Cell, District Jalandhar (Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings arising out of the same are quashed, qua the petitioner.

October 23, 2024  
manisha

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)  
JUDGE

|      |                           |        |
|------|---------------------------|--------|
| (i)  | Whether speaking/reasoned | Yes/No |
| (ii) | Whether reportable        | Yes/No |