

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

112

CRM-M-47440-2024
Date of Decision: 29.11.2024

Amandeep Kaur

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

....Respondents

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Mr. Rajiv Malhotra, Advocate (Through VC)
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sukhdev Singh, AAG, Punjab.

ANOOP CHITKARA, J.

FIR No.	Dated	Police Station	Sections
96	10.10.2018	Raja Sansi, Amritsar	306/506 IPC

Seeking directions to the learned trial Court at Amritsar (Punjab) to alter the charges framed by enhancing Sections 420, 467, 468, 471 & 120B IPC in Sessions case No.SC-234 of 2022 in the FIR captioned above, petitioner has come up before this Court by filing the present petition under Section 528 BNSS 2023.

2. On 27.11.2024, this Court had passed the following order:-

“Petitioner seeks adjournment.

Counsel for the State on instructions from ASI Jasbir Singh, submits that in an another petition bearing number CRM-M-29149-2022, vide order dated 19.12.2022, proceedings were stayed qua one accused-Varun Sachdeva, however trial against the remaining accused continued and vide judgment dated 29.10.2024, they have been acquitted by the trial Court.

Given above, the present petition has rendered infructuous.

Let the petitioner get instructions about the statement made above.

List on 29.11.2024.”
3. At this stage, counsel for the petitioner seeks permission to withdraw the present petition.

4. Given above, petition is disposed of as withdrawn. Pending applications, if any, stand disposed of.

(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE

29.11.2024
anju rani

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether reportable?	No