

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

133

2024:PHHC:015998

**CR-6642-2019 (O&M)
Date of decision: 06.02.2024**

BALBIR SINGH

..Petitioner

Versus

SURINDER KAUR AND OTHERS

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. Dinesh Nagar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. G.S. Punia, Sr. Advocate
with Mr. P.S. Punia, Advocate
for respondent No.1, 2 and 5.

Mr. Tarunvir Singh Lehal, Advocate
for respondent No.3 and 4.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J(Oral)

1. In this revision petition, the plaintiff assails the correctness of order passed by the trial Court on 26.09.2019 while dismissing petitioner's application for permission to lead additional evidence by examining handwriting and fingerprint expert to compare the signatures of defendant No.1 on site plan (Ex.PW5/A) and original form (Ex.DW6/A) with the standard signatures on written statement, power of attorney etc. The trial Court has dismissed the application on the ground that there is no requirement to obtain expert opinion when the genuineness of the document itself is yet to be seen.

2. The learned counsel representing the petitioner contends that respondent No.1 deny her signatures on Ex.PW5/A and Ex.DW6/A on 26.08.2019, and thereafter, the application was filed.

3. This Court has considered the submissions of the learned counsel representing the parties.

4. The plaintiff has already led his evidence in affirmative as well as in rebuttal. The Court has already held that there is no requirement to obtain expert opinion.

5. Keeping in view the aforesaid facts, no ground to interfere is made out.

6. Dismissed accordingly.

7. Needless to observe that the observations made by the trial Court while passing the impugned order or by this Court shall not be construed as final expression on the merits of the case.

8. All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also disposed of.

February 06th, 2024

Ay

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : *Yes/No*

Whether reportable : *Yes/No*