



223

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-46786-2024 (O&M)
Date of decision: 25.09.2024

Anurag ...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana ...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present: Mr. Rohit Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Bhupinder Singh, DAG, Haryana.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J. (ORAL)

1. Through the instant petition filed under Section 483 of BNSS, 2023 the petitioner prays for grant of regular bail in case FIR No.763 dated 08.08.2024, under Sections 191(3), 190, 115, 324(4), 109 of BNSS, 2023 and 25 of the Arms Act, registered at Police Station City Yamuna Nagar, District Yamuna Nagar.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that though the petitioner has been named in the FIR, however, no specific role has been attributed to him. He further submits that all the injuries suffered by the complainant are simple in nature. He also submits that two other co-accused, who were at co-equal pedestal with the petitioner, namely Sunil Verma and Lovish, have already approached this Court for grant of pre-arrest bail by filing CRM-M-44242-2024 titled “Sunil Verma Vs. State of



Haryana” and they have been granted the relief of interim anticipatory bail vide separate orders passed on dated 09.09.2024, and dated 25.09.2024 respectively. He over and above submits that the petitioner has suffered incarceration of more than one month as on today.

3. That earlier the petitioner was granted the relief of regular bail, however, due to his non-appearance before the learned trial Court concerned, he was declared as a proclaimed offender vide order dated 15.11.2022, and finally, he was arrested on 12.08.2024 and till date he is behind bar.

4. Learned State counsel has filed the custody certificate qua the petitioner today in Court, which is taken on record. As per the custody certificate he has undergone custody of one month and 13 days as on today, and he is not involved in any other case.

5. Without observing anything on the merits of the case, considering the days of incarceration and as no role has been attributed to the petitioner, this Court deems it appropriate to allow the present petition. Therefore, the present petition is **allowed**.

6. The petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on furnishing of bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of concerned Chief Judicial Magistrate/trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

7. However, anything observed here-in-above shall have no effect on the merits of the case and is meant for deciding the present petition only.

25.09.2024

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

2024:PHHC:127580



Whether speaking/reasoned: *Yes/No*

Whether Reportable: *Yes/No*