

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

303

2024:PHHC:015170

CRM-M-49401-2023

Date of decision: February 5th, 2024

Joginder Singh and others

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present: Mr. Jagdeep S. Virk and Mr. Anmol Singh Virk, Advocates
for the petitioner.

Mr. A.P.S. Tung, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

Mr. Gursharan Singh, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

The instant (second) petition is for quashing of FIR No.130 dated 21.11.2015 under Sections 419, 420, 467, 468, 471, 120-B of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 registered at Police Station City Batala, District Gurdaspur, and all other proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of compromise dated 12.05.2022 (Annexure P-3) arrived at, between the parties.

2. Vide order dated 03.10.2023 of this Court, the parties were directed to appear before the learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate on 03.11.2023 to get their statements recorded regarding the compromise arrived at, between them.

3. Report has since been received from learned Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Batala, in pursuance of the directions of this Court, wherein, the factum of the compromise arrived at between the parties stands verified and confirmed. As per the report compromise

has indeed been effected between the parties and the same is without any pressure or coercion and out of their free will and the complainant has also made statement to the effect that she would have no objection if the FIR *qua* the accused-petitioners is quashed.

4. The trial Court has annexed the statements of the parties in original, along with its report.

5. Learned State counsel too submits that there are no other accused other than the petitioners and respondent No.2 is the only aggrieved person in the FIR in question.

6. In view of the report of the learned Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Batala, and the principles laid down by the Apex Court in *Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and others (2012) 10 SCC 303*, and also by the Full Bench of this Court in *Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and another, 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052*, the instant petition is allowed. The aforesaid FIR and all consequential proceedings arising out of it, are quashed.

6. Needless to say the parties shall remain bound by the terms of compromise and their statements recorded before the Court below.

February 5th, 2024
Puneet

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes
Whether reportable : No