



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

(121)

CWP No.24114-2024
DATE OF DECISION: 20.09.2024

Kiranjeet KaurPetitioner

VERSUS

State of Punjab and othersRespondents

CORAM HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present Mr.Arjun Shukla and
Ms. Vanshika Grover, Advocates,
for the petitioner.

Mr.Satnam Preet Singh Chauhan, DAG, Punjab.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J (ORAL)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner competed for the post of Physical Education Master advertised on 08.01.2022 and secured 89 marks whereas, in order to qualify the examination, 90 marks were needed and the petitioner was not considered eligible but lateron, the result of the written test was revised wherein the petitioner was granted 90 marks so as to be considered eligible for the post in question but the claim of the petitioner has not been considered and that too without any justification.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the grievance raised in the present petition has already been raised in the representation dated 06.09.2024, a copy of which has been appended as Annexure P-8 and the petitioner will be satisfied at this stage in case a time bound direction is issued to the respondents to decide the same by passing appropriate speaking order.



3. Notice of motion.
4. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Satnam Preet Singh Chauhan, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State.
5. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that in case, any representation is received from the petitioner, the same will be considered and an appropriate order on the same will be passed within a period of eight weeks from the receipt of any such representation and in case, it is found feasible, the request of the petitioner will be accepted, otherwise due reasons will be given while passing the order on the representation for not accepting the request of the petitioner and the same will be conveyed to the petitioner.
6. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in view of the statement of learned State counsel, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.
7. Ordered accordingly.

20.09.2024
mamta

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No