



108

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP-9184-2024

Date of Decision:- 20.09.2024

Preeti and Another

....Petitioners

Vs.

State of Haryana and Others

....Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE AMARJOT BHATTI

Present:- Mr. R.K. Choudhary, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Rupinder Singh Jhand, Addl. AG Haryana.

AMARJOT BHATTI, J. (Oral)

1. Petitioners Preeti and Gourav have filed present petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of direction to respondents No. 2 and 3 to protect life and liberty of petitioners from the hands of private respondents No. 4 and 5, who are parents of petitioner No. 1.

2. It is submitted that both petitioners are major. As per Aadhaar Card of petitioner No. 1, Annexure P-1, her date of birth is 19.04.2001. On the other hand, as per Aadhaar Card of petitioner No.2, Annexure P-2, his date of birth is 23.10.2002. They had performed marriage on 12.09.2024. Copy of Certificate of Marriage issued by Arya Samaj Mandir Trust (Regd.), Sahupura, Sector 65, Radha Krishan Colony near Bala Ji Dham Mandir, Ballabgarh, Faridabad, Haryana is Annexure P-4 and photographs regarding performing of their marriage are Annexure P-3. Petitioners also moved representation to Superintendent of Police, District Palwal, Haryana, which is Annexure P-5. Petitioners had performed marriage without

consent and wishes of parents of petitioner No. 1 and as such they are receiving threats from respondents No. 4 and 5.

3. Notice of motion.

4. On asking of this Court, Mr. Rupinder Singh Jhand, Addl. AG Haryana accepts notice on behalf of State. Complete copy of paperbook along with supporting documents is already supplied.

5. Considering nature of litigation, no purpose would be served by serving notice to private respondents.

6. I have considered the facts narrated in petition, according to which both petitioners are major and they have performed marriage against wishes of parents of petitioner No. 1, as a result they are apprehending threats from the hands of respondents No. 4 and 5. It is duty of police to protect life and liberty of petitioners. Therefore, respondents No. 2 and 3 are directed to consider representation of petitioners dated 13.09.2024 (Annexure P-5) and protect life and liberty of petitioners from the hands of respondents No. 4 and 5, considering threat perception, with further direction to maintain their privacy and dignity.

7. Since, respondents No. 4 and 5 are residents of Delhi, so whenever there is any apprehension to petitioners from respondents No. 4 and 5 on their arrival at Palwal (Haryana), then they will intimate to respondents No. 2 and 3 and their life and liberty will be protected.

8. Aforesaid protection order will have no bearing on legality and validity of marriage and it will not protect them in case

there is any violation of law or pending legal proceedings.

9. Petition is accordingly disposed of.
10. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, stand
disposed of accordingly as well.

20.09.2024
lalit

(AMARJOT BHATTI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No