

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-24174 of 2024
Date of Decision:23.09.2024

...Petitioner

Versus

....Respondents

Ms. Vibha Tewari, AAG, Haryana

AMAN CHAUDHARY J. (Oral)

2. Learned counsel submits that the claim of the petitioner for promotion to the post of Foresh Guard is based on the judgments passed in CWP No. 27328 of 2018, titled **Zile Singh vs. State of Haryana and others**, decided on 09.02.2023 and CWP No. 12582 of 2019, titled **Vishal Kumar vs. State of Haryana and others**, decided on 08.08.2023, Annexures P-9 and P-14 respectively, which have been further followed in **Mam Chand and others vs. State of Haryana and others**, CWP No.18189 of 2010, which alongwith 7 other connected petitions, was disposed of on 11.08.2023,



CWP-24174 of 2024 2

Annexure P-15 and implemented vide order dated 05.09.2024, Annexure P-16. However, vide order dated 09.02.2024 the primary reason for rejection is pendency of RA-CW-247 of 2023 in the case of **Zile Singh vs. State of Haryana and others** which was dismissed on 07.03.2024, Annexure P-10.

3. Learned State counsel, on instructions from Shri Ravinder Dhankar, Deputy Conservator Forest, Kaithal, submits that the impugned order shall be withdrawn and a fresh would be passed keeping in view the aforesaid facts, within a period of four weeks.

4. In view of the above, without commenting upon the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed accordingly and in case the petititioner is found entitled to any relief, it would be granted to him within a further period of four weeks. Needless to say, if the orders are adverse to his interest, the same shall contain reasons and the petitioner shall be free to seek legal redress.

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

September 23, 2024
dinesh

Whether speaking	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No