

CRM-M-46821-2024 (O&M)

-1-

230 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-46821-2024 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 25.11.2024

PRINCEPAL SINGH

...Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR

Present: Mr. J.K. Singla, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Nitesh Sharma, DAG Punjab.

Mr. Kashish Garg, Advocate for respondent No. 2.

Harpreet Singh Brar J.(Oral)


1. This petition has been filed under Section 528 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 seeking quashing of FIR No. 45 dated 07.03.2024 under Sections 498-A, 406 of IPC, registered at Police Station Patran, District Patiala (Annexure P-1) and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 22.08.2024 (Annexure P-2).

2. The following order was passed on 19.09.2024:

“This petition has been filed under Section 528 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 seeking quashing of FIR No.45 dated 07.03.2024 under Sections 498-A, 406 of IPC, registered at Police Station Patran, District Patiala (Annexure P-1) and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 22.08.2024 (Annexure P-2).

Notice of motion for 24.10.2024.

At this stage, on asking of the Court, Mr. Subhash Godara, Addl. A.G., Punjab accepts notice on



behalf of respondent No.1-State and Mr. Kashish Garg, Advocate accepts notice for respondent No.2 and files his Vakalatnama, which is taken on record. Copy of the paper book be supplied to them during the course of day.

Service is complete.


In the meanwhile, the parties are directed to appear before the learned trial Court/Illaqa Magistrate within two weeks from today or any other date convenient to the trial Court/Illaqa Magistrate, to get their statements recorded regarding compromise and after recording their statements, learned trial Court/Illaqa Magistrate is directed to send report regarding the genuineness of compromise and also to intimate whether any PO proceedings are pending against any of the party on or before the date fixed.

A copy of the order be sent to learned trial Court/Illaqa Magistrate through fax for compliance.”

3. Vide order dated 24.10.2024, parties were again directed to appear before the jurisdictional Magistrate for recording their statements in support of the compromise.

4. In compliance of the aforesaid orders, a report has been received from the concerned jurisdictional Court that the compromise between the parties is genuine and arrived at without any pressure or coercion from anyone.

5. In view of the compromise and the ratio of law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **Narinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and another, (2014) 6 SCC 466, Ramgopal and another Vs. State of Madhya Pradesh 2021 SCC OnLine SC 834 and Shakuntala Sawhney (Mrs) Vs. Kaushalya (Mrs.) and others (1980) 1 SCC 63** and



Full Bench of this Court in **Kulwinder Singh Vs. State of Punjab 2007 (3) RCR (Crl.) 1052**, this petition is allowed and FIR No. 45 dated 07.03.2024 under Sections 498-A, 406 of IPC, registered at Police Station Patran, District Patiala (Annexure P-1) along with all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom are quashed, qua the petitioner.

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE

25.11.2024
Ajay Goswami

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No