



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRWP-9104-2024

Date of Decision: September 18, 2024

SANIYA AND ANR

....Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS

....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL

Present: Mr. Deepak k. Bartia, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. B.S. Virk, Senior DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Shivam Chaudhary, Advocate
for respondent Nos.4 and 5.

SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J.(ORAL)

This is a petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a direction to respondent Nos.2 and 3 to provide protection of life and liberty to the petitioners (live-in-relationship) which is under eminent threats at the hands of respondent Nos.4 to 7.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he will be satisfied in case a direction be issued to respondent No.2- the Superintendent of Police Yamuna Nagar, District Yamuna Nagar to look into the representation dated 16.09.2024 (Annexure P-3), within a time bound manner.

Without adverting to the merits of the case and in view of the statement made by learned counsel for the petitioners, the present petition is



disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2- the Superintendent of Police Yamuna Nagar, District Yamuna Nagar to look into the representation dated 16.09.2024 (Annexure P-3) and decide the same in accordance with law within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of the certified copy of this order.

The order so passed be conveyed to the petitioners within one week thereafter.

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE

18.09.2024
Sangeeta

Whether reasoned/speaking: Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No