

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-46658-2024

Date of Decision : **October 16, 2024**

Arvind

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present : Mr. Sandeep Kotla, Advocate for the petitioner.
 Mr. Abhinash Jain, DAG, Haryana.
 Mr. Chanderhas Yadav, Advocate for the complainant.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J. (Oral)

1. On 19.9.2024, this Court had passed the hereinafter extracted order, upon the instant petition:-

“Through the instant petition filed under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, prayer is made for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.185 dated 27.7.2024, under Sections 191(2)/191(3)/190/115(2)/118(1)/126(2)/109 of BNS, registered at Police Station Bhattu Kalan, Fatehabad.

Learned counsel for the petitioner in the asking for the relief (supra) submits that the injury attributed to the present petitioner is neither grievous nor declared as dangerous to life.

Notice of motion for 16.10.2024.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Bhupender Singh, D.A.G., Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State, whereas Mr.Chanderhas Yadav, Advocate has filed memo of appearance on behalf of the complainant. He is permitted to file his duly executed

Vakalatnama in his favour with the Registry of this Court within 7 days from today.

In the meantime, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation and in the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be released on interim bail subject to his furnishing personal and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting/Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall, however, join the investigation as and when called for and shall also abide by the conditions specified under Section 482(2) of the BNSS, 2023.

To be heard alongwith CRM-M-43500-2024.”

2. Today, the learned State counsel has, on instructions imparted to him by the official concerned, stated that pursuant to the making of the hereinabove extracted order, the petitioner(s) had joined investigation and he is no longer required for further custodial interrogation.

3. In view of the above, the hereinabove extracted interim order dated 19.9.2024, is hereby made **absolute**, subject to the hereinafter extracted conditions:-

“(i) the petitioner(s) shall not commit an offence similar to the present offence;

(ii) the petitioner(s) shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case;

(iii) the petitioner(s) shall make himself/herself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required.”

4. This order should not be treated as “blanket” order. It will not be read granting petitioner(s) indefinite protection from arrest. It shall be confined to the FIR mentioned *ibid* and will not operate in respect of any other incident that involves commission of an offence.

5. Needless to say that anything observed hereinabove shall not be construed to be an opinion on the merits of the case.

October 16, 2024
ajay-1

(KULDEEP TIWARI)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned. : Yes/No

Whether Reportable. : Yes/No